

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,426	0/662,426 09/16/2003		Wei-Ming Lee	146712004200	2374
25227	7590	04/21/2006		EXAMINER	
MORRISO		ERSTER LLP	CHAUDHRY, SAEED T		
SUITE 300	NS BOU	LEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN,	VA 221	02		1746	
				DATE MAILED: 04/21/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/662,426	LEE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Saeed T. Chaudhry	1746					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-20</u> is/are pending in the app	lication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 11,12 and 14-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-10 and 20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
and the second control of the second of the second							
Attachment(s)	,, — , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/662,426 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendments and remarks filed February 15, 2006 have been acknowledged by the examiner and entered. Claims 3 and 13 have been canceled and claims 1-2, 4-12, and 14-

20 are pending in this application for consideration. Of the above claims 11-12, 14-19 have been

withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Rejection of claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 8, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the

specification, while being enabling for only disk has been withdrawn by the examiner in view of

the amendments to the claims.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the

enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the

specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which

it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not provide

the information to rotate the tape. It is not cleat how the tape is rotated on the article, while

translating on the article since axis of rotation of the tape is not described.

Claims 1-10 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention.

Rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph has been with drawn by the

examiner in view of argument filed February 15, 2006.

Art Unit: 1746

Claim 1 and 20, recite, "device that rotates the burnishing object". It is indefinite and unclear that how the device rotates. Does it rotate in x-axis or y-axis as compared to the article and specification does not provide any explanation either.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (c) he has abandoned the invention.
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented.
- (g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

Claims 1-8 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tateyama et al.

Tateyama et al (5,375,291) disclose an apparatus cleaning a disk like substrate comprising a burnishing object (42) positioned over the substrate, which rotates with a motor (41) and swung in the direction Θ to translate and make an offset angle from the center of the substrate by a drive mechanism.

The object is not contacted with the substrate by air. The offset angle is changing while object is moving on the disk from outer to the inner diameter or in the reverse direction.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,426 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

The apparatus is capable of simultaneously translating and rotating. The object is capable of making a wiper blade motion since it move in Θ direction.

A horizontal base 2 of the substrate-cleaning unit 38 is supported by four posts 3. A brush cleaner 5, an operation mechanism 6 and a spin chuck mechanism 40 are arranged on the horizontal base 2. The operation mechanism 6 serves to move a jet nozzle 13 and a brush 42 in the X and Z axes directions. The jet nozzle 13 is attached to the front end of an arm 17, which is supported by a mechanism 15. The arm 17 can be extended in the Y axis direction and swung in the direction Θ by the mechanism 15.

The brush 42 is wound round a shaft 44 in a spiral. This spiral brush 42 can make its contact area with the wafer W larger than the disk brush. In addition, it can make its contact pressure against the wafer W more uniform than the roll brush. It is made of nylon or mohair. The shaft 44 is rotated round its center axis, extended in the Y axis direction and swung in the direction theta. by a drive mechanism 41, which is connected to the operation mechanism 6 by a lifter base 43 and moved in the Z axis direction by the lifter base 43. The brush cleaner 5 serves to clean the brush 42 when the brush 42 is at its waiting position. Tateyama et al discloses all the limitations as claimed herein. Therefore, Tateyama et al anticipated the claimed apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art. 3.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tateyama et al.

Tateyama et al were discussed supra. However, the reference fails to disclose that burnishing object is a pad.

It would have been obvious at the time applicant invented the claimed apparatus to substitute a pad or a tape instead of a brush in the apparatus of Tateyama et al because it is matter of design and choice. It is well known in the art to interchangeably use brush with tape or pad for burnishing an article.

Response to Applicant's Arguments

Applicant argued that one of ordinary skill in the art would know how to rotate a burnishing tape, which is accomplished in the same manner as rotating a brush or a pad according to the present invention.

This argument is not persuasive because specification does not describe how the tape is rotated. Brush and pads has different mod of rotation than a tape. It is not clear and specification do not provide any explanation for the axis of rotation. One of ordinary skill in the art would not appraised the scope of the invention.

Art Unit: 1746

Applicant argued that claim recites "the device changes the offset angle of the burnishing object and translates the burnishing object while cleaning the disk and claim 20 recites "means for simultaneously translating and rotating the burnishing object on the disk while cleaning the disk." Tateyama does not disclose changing the offset angle of the burnishing object and translating the burnishing object while cleaning the disk. Thus, Tateyama et al do not anticipate claim 1 and claim 20.

This argument is not persuasive because Tateyama et al apparatus is capable of simultaneously translating and rotating the burnishing object since shaft 44 is rotated around its center axis, extended in the y axis direction and swung in the direction θ by a drive mechanism.

Applicant's arguments filed February 15, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saeed T. Chaudhry whose telephone number is (571) 272-1298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Michael Barr, can be reached on (571)-272-1414. The fax phone number for non-final is (703)-872-9306.

When filing a FAX in Gp 1700, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other

Application/Control Number: 10/662,426 Page 7

Art Unit: 1746

communication with the PTO that are for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Saeed T. Chaudhry

Patent Examiner

MICHAEL BARR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER